

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

By this amendment, claims 1, 10, 14, 15 and 18 were amended. Independent claim 1 was amended to incorporate the allowable features of claims 4 and 5. Further, claims 4 and 5 were cancelled without prejudice. Claims 10 and 18 were amended to incorporate subject matter from claims 14 and 15. Claims 14 and 15 were amended accordingly. No new matter is introduced.


Claim Rejections – 35 USC § 102. The Office Action rejected claims 1-3 and 6-19 under Section 102(e) as being anticipated by Morgan et al.

Claims 1-3 and 6-9. Claim 1 was amended to incorporate allowable features of claims 4 and 5. Accordingly, applicants submit that claims 1-3 and 6-9 are allowable.

Claims 10-19. The cited Morgan et al. reference fails to disclose or suggest that the compensation signal is fed back to a current source of the signal driving circuit coupled between a power source and a differential signaling device of the signal driving circuit. Accordingly, Applicants submit that claims 10-19 are not anticipated by Morgan et al.

In view of the foregoing, Applicants respectfully submit that the present invention as currently claimed is novel and unobvious over the Morgan et al. patent. Allowance of all pending claims 1-3 and 6-19 is thus respectfully requested. If there are any remaining issues preventing allowance of the pending claims that may be clarified by telephone, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned.

Respectfully submitted,



Evan R. Witt
Reg. No. 32,512
Attorney for Applicants

Date: June 22, 2005

MADSON & METCALF
Gateway Tower West
15 West South Temple, Suite 900
Salt Lake City, Utah 84101
Telephone: 801/537-1700